

# Contents

<b>Preface and Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Part One Theory and Research</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Why TBLT?</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1. The Importance of Second Language Learning and Teaching in the Twenty-First Century	3
1.2. TBLT and the Meaning of ‘Task’	5
1.3. A Rationale for TBLT	7
1.3.1. Consistency with SLA theory and research findings	7
1.3.2. Basis in philosophy of education	9
1.3.3. Accountability	9
1.3.4. Relevance	10
1.3.5. Avoidance of known problems with existing approaches	12
1.3.6. Learner-centeredness	13
1.3.7. Functionality	13
1.4. Summary	14
1.5. Suggested Readings	14
<b>2 SLA and the Fundamental LT Divide</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1. Interventionist and Non-Interventionist Positions	16
2.1.1. Interventionist positions	17
2.1.2. Non-interventionist positions	18
2.2. Synthetic and Analytic Approaches to LT	19
2.2.1. Synthetic approaches	19
2.2.2. Analytic approaches	20
2.3. Problems with Synthetic Approaches and Focus on Forms	21
2.4. Problems with Analytic Approaches and Focus on Meaning	25
2.5. A Third Option: Analytic Approaches with a Focus on Form	27
2.6. A Role for Instructed Second Language Acquisition (ISLA) Research	28
2.7. Summary	29
2.8. Suggested Readings	29

<b>3</b>	<b>Psycholinguistic Underpinnings: A Cognitive-Interactionist Theory of Instructed Second Language Acquisition (ISLA)</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1.	Theoretical Disunity in Second Language Acquisition (SLA)	30
3.2.	When Knowledge Is Incomplete: The Role of Theory	33
3.3.	A Cognitive-Interactionist Theory of ISLA: Problems and Explanations	36
P1.	Purely incidental and implicit child L1A is overwhelmingly successful	36
P2.	Purely incidental and implicit adult L2A is highly variable and largely unsuccessful	37
E1.	Adult SLA is maturationally constrained	38
E2.	Adults, so defined, are partially “disabled” language learners	41
P3.	Some classes of linguistic features in adult SLA are fragile	43
E3.	Implicit learning is still the default learning mechanism	43
E4.	Explicit learning (including focal attention) is required to improve implicit processing in adult SLA but is constrained	49
E5.	Attention is critical, at two levels	51
E6.	The interaction hypothesis	52
E7.	The role of negative feedback, including recasts	54
P4.	Success and failure in adult SLA vary among and within individuals	57
E8.	Individual differences, especially input sensitivity, and linguistic differences, especially perceptual saliency, are responsible for variability in, and within, ultimate L2 attainment	58
3.4.	Summary	60
3.5.	Suggested Readings	61
<b>4</b>	<b>Philosophical Underpinnings: <i>L'education Integrale</i></b>	<b>63</b>
4.1.	TBLT's Philosophical Principles: Origins and Overview	63
4.2.	<i>L'education Integrale</i> and Learning by Doing	66
4.3.	Individual Freedom	69
4.4.	Rationality	71
4.5.	Emancipation	72
4.6.	Learner-Centeredness	75
4.7.	Egalitarian Teacher–Student Relationships	76
4.8.	Participatory Democracy	77
4.9.	Mutual Aid and Cooperation	79
4.10.	Summary	82
4.11.	Suggested Readings	82
<b>Part Two</b>	<b>Design and Implementation</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Task-Based Needs and Means Analysis</b>	<b>87</b>
5.1.	Why Needs Analysis?	87
5.2.	Needs Analysis and Learner Diversity	89

5.3.	Doubts about Needs Analysis	92
5.3.1.	General English for all	93
5.3.2.	The <i>ex post facto</i> process syllabus	93
5.3.3.	Felt needs or objective needs?	93
5.3.4.	Learner heterogeneity	94
5.3.5.	Surface linguistic features or underlying technical competence?	95
5.3.6.	The dark side?	96
5.4.	The Growth of Needs Analysis	98
5.4.1.	The Council of Europe's unit credit system	99
5.4.2.	Munby's Communication Needs Processor (CNP) and its critics	101
5.5.	Task as the Unit of (Needs) Analysis	108
5.5.1.	Tasks defined	108
5.5.2.	Avoiding the traditional bottleneck in needs analysis	110
5.5.3.	The availability of ready-made task-based analyses	111
5.6.	Means Analysis	112
5.7.	Summary	115
5.8.	Suggested Readings	116
<b>6</b>	<b>Identifying Target Tasks</b>	<b>117</b>
6.1.	Sources of Information	117
6.1.1.	Published and unpublished literature	118
6.1.2.	The learners	127
6.1.3.	Applied linguists	130
6.1.4.	Domain experts	135
6.1.5.	Triangulated sources	136
6.2.	Methods	139
6.2.1.	The use of multiple measures and their sequencing	139
6.2.2.	Sampling	146
6.2.3.	Expert and non-expert intuitions	147
6.2.4.	Interviews	149
6.2.5.	Questionnaire surveys	152
6.2.6.	Language audits	156
6.2.7.	Participant and non-participant observation	157
6.2.8.	Journals and logs	162
6.2.9.	Proficiency measures	165
6.2.10.	Triangulation by methods and sources: the flight attendants study	166
6.3.	Summary	167
6.4.	Suggested Readings	168
<b>7</b>	<b>Analyzing Target Discourse</b>	<b>169</b>
7.1.	Conventional Approaches to Language Analysis for Language Teaching (LT)	169
7.2.	The Dynamic Qualities of Target Discourse	171

7.2.1.	Boswood and Marriot’s “ethnographic approach” to NA	172
7.2.2.	Mohan and Marshall Smith’s “language socialization” approach to NA	175
7.2.3.	Watson-Gegeo’s true ethnography and “thick explanation”	177
7.2.4.	TBLT	179
7.3.	Discourse Analysis (DA) and Analysis of Discourse (AD)	180
7.3.1.	Discourse analysis	180
7.3.2.	Analysis of discourse	181
7.3.3.	Sampling and data collection	185
7.4.	Analysis of Target Discourse: Five Cases	187
7.4.1.	The railway ticket purchase	188
7.4.2.	Japanese tourist shopping	191
7.4.3.	Doing architecture	195
7.4.4.	Buying and selling a cup of coffee	198
7.4.5.	When small talk is a big deal	201
7.5.	Summary	203
7.6.	Suggested Readings	203
<b>8</b>	<b>Task-Based Syllabus Design</b>	<b>205</b>
8.1.	Some Minimum Requirements	205
8.2.	The Unit of Analysis	206
8.2.1.	The structural, or grammatical, syllabus	207
8.2.2.	The notional-functional syllabus	208
8.2.3.	The lexical syllabus	210
8.2.4.	Topical and situational syllabi	212
8.2.5.	The content syllabus	214
8.2.6.	The procedural syllabus	216
8.2.7.	The process syllabus	219
8.2.8.	The task syllabus	221
8.2.9.	The hybrid syllabus	222
8.3.	Selection	223
8.3.1.	Target tasks and target task-types	223
8.3.2.	Pedagogic tasks	225
8.4.	Grading	227
8.4.1.	Valency and criticality	227
8.4.2.	Frequency	228
8.4.3.	Learnability	230
8.4.4.	Complexity and difficulty	230
8.4.5.	Some research findings on pedagogic task-types	241
8.5.	Summary	245
8.6.	Suggested Readings	246
<b>9</b>	<b>Task-Based Materials</b>	<b>248</b>
9.1.	Desirable Qualities of Pedagogic Tasks (PTs)	248
9.2.	Input Simplification and Elaboration	250
9.2.1.	Genuineness, input simplification, and authenticity	250
9.2.2.	Input elaboration	251

9.2.3.	The Paco sentences	252
9.2.4.	Effects of simplification and elaboration on L2 comprehension and acquisition	255
9.3.	Sample Task-Based Materials	259
9.3.1.	Preliminaries	259
9.3.2.	Sample modules for true and false beginners	260
9.3.2.1.	<i>Geometric figures tasks (matching shapes)</i>	261
9.3.2.2.	<i>"Spot-the-difference" tasks</i>	264
9.3.3.	Sample modules for elementary learners	269
9.3.3.1.	<i>Obtaining and following street directions</i>	269
9.3.3.2.	<i>Decoding drug labels</i>	274
9.3.4.	Sample modules for intermediate learners	279
9.3.4.1.	<i>Negotiating a police traffic stop</i>	279
9.3.4.2.	<i>Delivering a sales report</i>	287
9.3.5.	Sample modules for advanced learners	291
9.3.5.1.	<i>A complex political issue</i>	291
9.3.5.2.	<i>Attending an academic lecture</i>	295
9.4.	Summary	297
9.5.	Suggested Readings	298
<b>10</b>	<b>Methodological Principles and Pedagogic Procedures</b>	<b>300</b>
10.1.	Methodological Principles (MPs), Pedagogic Procedures (PPs), and Evaluation Criteria (EC)	300
10.1.1.	Methodological principles	301
10.1.2.	Pedagogic procedures	301
10.1.3.	Evaluation criteria	304
10.2.	Ten Methodological Principles	305
10.2.1.	MP1: Use task, not text, as the unit of analysis	305
10.2.2.	MP2: Promote learning by doing	306
10.2.3.	MP3: Elaborate input	306
10.2.4.	MP4: Provide rich input	306
10.2.5.	MP5: Encourage inductive "chunk" learning	307
10.2.6.	MP6: Focus on form	316
10.2.7.	MP7: Provide negative feedback	321
10.2.8.	MP8: Respect learner syllabi and developmental processes	323
10.2.9.	MP9: Promote cooperative collaborative learning	324
10.2.10.	MP10: Individualize instruction	325
10.3.	Pedagogic Procedures	326
10.4.	Summary	327
10.5.	Suggested Readings	327
<b>11</b>	<b>Task-Based Assessment and Program Evaluation</b>	<b>329</b>
11.1.	Task-Based, Criterion-Referenced Performance Tests	329
11.2.	Task Completion and/or Language Abilities?	332
11.3.	Target Tasks or Underlying Constructs and Abilities?	334
11.4.	The Transferability of Task-Based Abilities	336

11.5. Program Evaluation	341
11.5.1. Some general requirements on TBLT evaluations	341
11.5.2. Laboratory and classroom studies	343
11.5.3. Research findings on MPs	345
11.5.4. Evaluating task-based courses and programs	347
11.5.4.1. <i>Establishing construct validity</i>	347
11.5.4.2. <i>Sample evaluations and findings</i>	350
11.6. Summary	364
11.7. Suggested Readings	365
<b>Part Three The Road Ahead</b>	<b>367</b>
<b>12 Does TBLT Have a Future?</b>	<b>369</b>
12.1. Diffusion of Innovation	369
12.2. A Research Program for TBLT	373
12.3. Building the Road as We Travel	374
<b>References</b>	<b>376</b>
<b>Appendix: List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>433</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>436</b>